

Attachment 2

Use this form for both the Prime Contractor and Lead Design Firm
PAST PERFORMANCE SURVEY FORM

A. GENERAL INFORMATION: With their initial proposals, offerors and the Lead Design Firm shall submit Past Performance Survey Forms, fully completed by the Project owners, for each Company Specialized Experience forms submitted for both the Prime Contractor and the Lead Design Firm. The Offeror may include CCASS ratings and ACASS ratings to demonstrate past performance.

Company Name: Jeffrey Carr Construction, Inc.

Address: 4164 Erie Avenue Telephone Number: (330) 879-5210

Massillon, Ohio 44646 Point of Contact: Jeffrey Carr

Name/Location of Project: Tuscarawas Middle School

Indian Valley School District

Contractor Performed as the Prime Contractor, the Construction Sub-Contractor; the Design Firm

B. RESPONDENT INFORMATION:

Name of Respondent: Eric Jurkovich Title: Principal of School

Address: 261 School Street Telephone Number: (740) 922-4226

Tuscarawas, Ohio 44682 Fax Number: (740) 254-9271

C PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: Choose the number on the scale of 1 to 6 that most accurately describes the contractor's performance or situation.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Unsatisfactory | Marginal | None | Satisfactory | Very Good | Exceptional |
| Performance did not meet most contractual requirements. There were serious problems and the contractor's corrective actions were ineffective. | Performance did not meet some contractual requirements. There were problems, some of a serious nature, for which corrective action was only marginally effective. | No record of past performance or the record is inconclusive. | Performance met contract requirements. There were some minor problems and corrective actions taken by the contractor were satisfactory. | Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded some to the government's benefit. There were a few minor problems which the contractor resolved in a timely, effective manner. | Performance met all contract requirements and exceeded many to the government's benefit. Problems, if any, were negligible and were resolved in a timely, highly effective manner. |

PAST PERFORMANCE SURVEY FORM

| The contractor: | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|----|--|
| 1 | Provided experienced managers and supervisors with the technical and administrative abilities needed to meet contract requirements. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 2 | Demonstrated ability to hire, maintain, and replace, if necessary, qualified personnel during the contract period | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 3 | Delegated authority to project managers and supervisors commensurate with contract requirements. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 4 | Home office participated in solving significant local problems. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 5 | Followed approved quality control plan. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 6 | Provided effective quality control and/or inspection procedures to meet contract requirements. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 7 | Corrected deficiencies in timely manner and pursuant to their quality control procedures. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 8 | Provided timely resolution of contract discrepancies. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 9 | Identified risks/problems as they occurred. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 10 | Suggested alternative approaches to problems. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 11 | Displayed initiative to solve problems. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 12 | Developed realistic progress schedules. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 13 | Met established projects schedules. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 14 | Provided timely resolution of warranty defects. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 15 | Was responsive to contract changes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 16 | Provided adequate project supervision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 17 | Obtained consent of surety for increases in bonding as work-in-progress increased. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 18 | Paid subcontractors/suppliers in a timely manner. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 19 | Provided accurate and complete cost proposals including all aspects of work required for each contract change. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 20 | Cooperated with Government personnel after award. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | NA | |
| 21 | Was the contractor ever issued a cure or show cause notice under the referenced contract? If yes, explain outcome in "remarks." | | | | | | Yes | No | |
| 22 | Would you award another contract to this contractor? If not, explain in "remarks." | | | | | | Yes | No | |
| 23 | OVERALL EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |

PAST PERFORMANCE SURVEY FORM

Owner's

Remarks:

End of Survey